

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

BUILDING | SURVEYING | ENGINEERING | GIS | PLANNING & ZONING | ROADS | WEEDS

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES	3 January 2019
<u>Item</u>	<u>Page</u>
Consent Agenda Items	
1. Orton Minor Subdivision 2 nd Amendment	2
2. Tom Pitcher Split Subdivision 1 st Amendment	2
Regular Action Items	
3. Michael Burton Conditional Use Permit	2
4. Amendment to Title 17.08 regarding Rural 2 and Rural 5 Zones	5
5. Elections for Chair and Vice Chair	5

Present: Angie Zetterquist, Chris Harrild, Josh Runhaar, Nolan Gunnell, Brady Christensen, Jason Watterson, Phil Olsen, Lee Edwards

Start Time: 05:30:00

Christensen welcomed and Watterson gave opening remarks.

05:33:00

Agenda

Approved with no changes.

05:34:00

Minutes

Gunnell motioned to approve the minutes from 6 December 2018; Olsen seconded; Passed 4, 0.

05:35:00

Consent Items

#1 Orton Minor Subdivision 2nd Amendment

#2 Tom Pitcher Lot Split Subdivision 1st Amendment

Watterson motioned to approve the consent agenda; Gunnell seconded; Passed 4, 0.

05:35:00

Regular Action Items

#3 Michael Burton Conditional Use Permit

Zetterquist reviewed the staff report for the Michael Burton Conditional Use Permit (CUP).

Staff and **Commission** reviewed locations and rough distance estimates of surrounding homes and other property owners' buildings. The definition of primary use was discussed; the difference between a home based kennel and a commercial kennel was explained.

Brett Chambers spoke on behalf of Mike and Karie Burton and stated there are concerns with condition # 2 and explained the concerns.

Gunnell asked about the delay requested regarding a certificate of occupancy of a home.

Mr. Chambers stated the applicant needs to sell their current home before they can build the new home they want to build.

Edwards asked about how the occupancy and permit would work.

Mr. Chambers stated yes.

Runhaar asked if the home is not occupied, how is the kennel secondary to the home?

Mr. Chambers responded that the home is being built and is a dwelling unit.

Runhaar responded that international building code would state the home is not a dwelling unit during construction. The code states "home", the definition of a "home" which is defined by somewhere a person dwells, or lives. There is a secondary requirement of a home on site for a home based kennel, if the applicant is going to exceed 12 dogs.

Mr. Chambers responded that he didn't think that a home is defined by four squares of a building.

Harrild read the code defining home.

Harrild stated that Mr. Chamber's is saying a home doesn't have to exist but be designed. You can't have something that doesn't exist function as a primary use. For the kennel to avoid qualifying as a commercial use, a dwelling unit has to be present on the property, not conceptually designed.

Mr. Chambers responded that there is a disagreement about the understanding of the definition. The home is being built and the applicant is asking for 8 months. If the home is not done, the permit could be revoked.

Edwards asked if the applicant would occupy the adjacent home until a certificate of occupancy is issued.

Mr. Chambers responded no; the applicant needs to sell the adjacent lot to finance the new construction.

Gunnell commented that a home is how the kennel becomes a home based kennel. If there is no home there, the kennel is commercial.

Mr. Chambers responded he could understand that and asked if there were a well and a foundation, would that qualify for the home definition?

Harrild asked if the intent is to sell the existing home kennel and move the applicant and the dogs to the new property, where are the dogs going to be in relation to the kennel?

Mr. Chambers responded that it is his understanding that the kennel would be there for the dogs to move to. The primary need for the 8 months is for the home to be built and 2-3 months would also be for a kennel to be built.

Christensen stated that the dogs couldn't be moved to the property without the full kennel being built, or the kennel is in violation of the CUP.

Staff and **Commission** discussed the building of the new kennel, studies for the new kennel, and timeline.

Karie Burton stated that as soon as their current residence sells, they would start construction on the kennel and new home. The new kennel would only take about 1 month to complete. The hope is that the

new owner of their current home would allow the dogs to stay in the current kennel until the new kennel is completed. She would then have a travel trailer, or some sort of mobile home, while the new home is built

Edwards asked if a foundation is required for a mobile home.

Runhaar responded for a mobile home to fit the definition, it needs a foundation.

Mrs. Burton asked about a travel trailer.

Harrild responded that a travel trailer does not fit the definition of a dwelling. A kennel has to be secondary to a dwelling unit. If the kennel is in place before the home, the use is allowed to occur before the permit conditions are met.

Mrs. Burton asked even if the travel trailer is temporary? The house is for sure going to be built.

Harrild responded that history of temporary uses in the county is not good.

Mrs. Burton asked if a travel trailer or home was on the Amalga side of the property.

Harrild responded that the current home is in a different jurisdiction, but the proposed kennel and house are in the county.

Christensen responded that there would be no dwelling in the county at that point.

Mrs. Burton asked if the only way to move the dogs to the new kennel was to have the finished home.

Harrild responded yes. A certificate of occupancy for the new home must be obtained before the dogs could be moved.

Mrs. Burton asked if she could move the dogs and not do business.

Harrild responded no; that still would violate the code.

Mr. Chambers commented that a trailer home, in order to qualify as a single family residence, it does have to have a permanent foundation but does not require a foundation to qualify as a dwelling unit.

Michael Burton stated that he asked a building inspector what defined a dwelling or a single family unit. The building inspector stated that a trailer cable tied down to footings could qualify as a dwelling or single family unit.

Harrild responded that he would have to check with the building inspector to see if that would qualify.

Gunnell asked if the applicants could lease or rent the current facility and home until the new house is built.

Mrs. Burton responded that would be the ideal case.

Staff and **Commission** discussed how leasing the new home would work and how it may require a variance procedure as the kennel must be secondary to a home, as required by the code.

Mr. Chambers asked if the trailer cabled down would meet the primary use of the lot and if that would make two primary dwellings.

Harrild responded yes.

Mr. Chambers asked if that was going to create an issue.

Harrild responded that there would need to be a permit for the first structure or trailer, in order to get a permit for the second dwelling the first structure or dwelling would have to be removed.

Mr. Chambers responded that it is being read as occupied and the ordinance doesn't state that. The ordinance states designed for occupation.

Staff recommended that the item be continued up to the next meeting in order for a full legal review to be conducted.

Watterson motioned to continue the Michael Burton Conditional Use Permit to February 7, 2019; **Gunnell** seconded; **Passed 4, 0.**

6:39:00

#4 Amendment to Title 17.08 regarding Rural 2 & Rural 5 Zones

Runhaar stated staff is trying to schedule a meeting between the County Council and the Planning Commission for a discussion on the Amendment to Title 17.08.

Staff and **Commission** discussed what the County Council has expressed regarding the RU2 and RU5 zones, and the affects to property owners.

6:57:00

#5 Elections for Chair and Vice Chair

Watterson motioned to nominate Brady Christensen as Chair and Nolan Gunnell as the Vice Chair; **Olsen** seconded; **Passed 4, 0.**

7:00:00 pm

Adjourned